



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/970,555 10/04/2001		Victor F. Petrenko	392500	1455	
75	90 06/11/2003				
Thomas Swen		EXAMINER			
Lathrop & Gage Suite 302 4845 Pearl East		VAN, QUANG T			
Boulder, CO 8			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			3742	راز	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	ı No.		Applicant(s)		A/V	
			09/970,555	09/970,555			PETRENKO, VICTOR F.		
	Offic	Action Summary	Examiner			Art Unit	<u> </u>		
			Quang T Va	an		3742			
Period fo	r Reply	LING DATE of this communication a					ddress		
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	MAILING Ensions of time results (6) MONT period for replements to reply with eply received to	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REID ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR HS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a ly is specified above, the maximum statutory perion the set or extended period for reply will, by stay the Office later than three months after the manadjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statut iod will apply and will alute, cause the applic	t, however, ory minimus expire SIX eation to bed	may a reply be tim m of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from come ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		on.	
1) 🗌	Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on _	•						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This acti	on is FINAL . 2b)	This action is r	on-final	•				
3)□ Dispositi		s application is in condition for allonation allonation accordance with the practice undings					he merits	is	
4) 🖂	Claim(s)	1-42 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.						
	4a) Of the	above claim(s) is/are withd	irawn from con	sideratio	on.				
5)	Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.							
6) 🗌	Claim(s) _	is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1	1-42 are subject to restriction and/	or election requ	irement	•				
Applicati	on Papers	S							
9) 🗌 -	The specif	ication is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawir	ng(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) 🗌 o	bjected t	to by the Exar	niner.			
	Applicant	may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) l	e held in	nabeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 🗆	The propos	sed drawing correction filed on	is: a)[] ap	proved t	b) disappro	ved by the Examir	ner.		
	If approve	ed, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Offi	ce action	. .				
12) 🔲 🗀	Γhe oath o	r declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.						
Priority u	ınder 35 L	J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)[Acknowle	dgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority und	er 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[□All b)[] Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Cer	tified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	receive	ed.				
	2. Cer	tified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	receive	d in Applicati	on No			
* S		pies of the certified copies of the p application from the International ached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2	2(a)).		Stage		
14) <u></u> □ A	cknowledg	gment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority un	der 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	ıl applicat	tion).	
		ranslation of the foreign language gment is made of a claim for dom							
Attachment	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s			otice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		Office	e Action Summary	,		Part of Paper No. 4	4		

Application/Control Number: 09/970,555

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-27, drawn to a system for preventing ice formation on a surface of a solid object, classified in class 219, subclass 770.
 - II. Claims 28-42, drawn to a method for preventing ice formation in a liquid water layer, classified in class 244, subclass 134R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed in Group II can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, such as, for example a system for preventing ice formation on a surface of a solid object by using AC power source or a system for preventing ice formation on a surface of a solid object by using DC power source.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,555

Art Unit: 3742

If Group I is elected, the species election is required as follow:

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I (claims 1-23, a system for preventing ice formation on a surface of a solid object by using AC power source), Species II (claims 24-27, a system for preventing ice formation on a surface of a solid object by using DC power source).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that <u>a reply to this requirement must include an identification</u> of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 09/970,555

Art Unit: 3742

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to the Office of Thomas Swenson, Lathrop & Gage, L.C. on June 2, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang T Van whose telephone number is 703-306-9162. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am 7:00Pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

QV

June 6, 2003

QUANG T. VAN PATENT EXAMINER

Quantlan